

JS - 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DHINTEC, Inc.

Plaintiff,

v.

AHMER SYED and DOE ONE  
through TEN Inclusive,  
Defendant.

No. CV 15-7385 JAK (FFMx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING  
ACTION TO STATE COURT

The Court will remand this action to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly.

On September 21, 2015, Defendant Miguel Rodriguez, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 15UA1397), filed a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

The Court has denied the *in forma pauperis* application under separate cover because the Court lacks jurisdiction over the action. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, as the Court has previously determined, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and

1 therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); *see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah*  
2 *Svcs., Inc.*, 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if  
3 complete diversity of citizenship existed, the amount in controversy does not exceed the  
4 diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the  
5 contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in controversy does not  
6 exceed \$10,000.

7 Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. *See*  
8 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

9 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior  
10 Court of California, County of Los Angeles, North District, Antelope Valley Courthouse,  
11 42011 4th Street West, Lancaster, California 93534 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction  
12 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to  
13 the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 DATED: October 7, 2015



19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  

---

JOHN A. KRONSTADT  
United States District Judge

Presented by:

23 /S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM  
24 FREDERICK F. MUMM  
United States Magistrate Judge